

Sr No	Reference Clause No	Page No	Clarification Particulars		Questions	Clarification
			Clause	Organization		
1	Clause 9.6	11	For applications who are subsidiaries of Foreign companies ( Equity of Foreign entity more than 50 %) and if their turnover are claimed, the IPA/ Major Port shall insist a letter from the Parent Company to make their services available to Indian Company as required and demanded by IPA/Major Port Without any extra Financial liabilities.	<b>SGS</b>	The letter which shall suffice the requirement is enclosed along the query document. Kindly confirm if anything else is required.	Yes, letter is required from the parent company
2	Clause 9.15	12	EMD	<b>SGS</b>	Kindly provide us the BG format	Only Demand Draft will be accepted. Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.
3			EMD and Document fee	<b>Xplorer</b>	Section 10 of Public Procurement Policy for MSME. Exemption from paying fees	Document fee and EMD have to be paid.
4.			EMD	<b>Xplorer</b>	EMD is not taken for empanelment. Hence, we request you to withdraw this requirement	Not accepted. EMD is required .
5.	Clause 9.6			<b>Xplorer</b>	It may please be clarified if a bid by a JV between an Indian	Yes, MoU will be considered in Bidding Process

					Engineering Consultancy Company and a Foreign Consultant through a MOU is permitted and would be considered in the Bidding process.	
6	Clause 7.2,	8	<p><b>For class A</b></p> <p>Three completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs. 120 crs.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Two completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs. 150 crs</p> <p>Or</p> <p>One completed similar work for Project costing not less than Rs. 240 crs.</p>	<b>CRISIL</b>	<p>As the Project cost for Class A is Rs. 200 crores &amp; above, request Authority to revise the qualification criteria as follows;</p> <p>Three completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs. 120 crores.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Two completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs 150 crores.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>One completed similar work for Project costing not less than Rs. 200 crores.</p>	Clause remains unchanged
7	Clause 7.2: Note	9	<p><b>Note:</b> The bidder who apply for the class- A registration and if getting qualified for class-A, shall get qualified automatically registered under the</p>	<b>CRISIL</b>	<p>Our understanding is that if a bidder applies under Class A and gets empanelled, then the bidder will automatically get empanelled for Class B also, even in case the bidder did not apply for Class B empanelment separately. Request Authority to please</p>	Willingness stating that <u>the Applicant</u> is also interested in empanelment for Class-B category shall be submitted.

			Class B category, he may also submit the undertaking stating that he may fulfill the all requirement required for Class-B category. However, marks for Class A & B will be assigned separately.		confirm the same.	
8	Clause 8.2.1	10	<b>Response</b> : The applicant should indicate the details of FTE professionals alongwith supporting documents for their engagement and provide an organization structure of the applicant indicating the FTE professional in that category.	<b>CRISIL</b>	1. Request the Authority to please confirm if a letter issued by the HR department of the company will suffice as supporting documents for employment. 2. Indicating each employee (FTE) in an organization structure for a large organization will be difficult. Request Authority to kindly relax the organization structure requirement and only consider the designation of the members.	1. Letter issued by the HR department of the company will suffice as supporting documents for employment.  2. Organization structure is required
9	Clause 9.3	11	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 10000/- as nonrefundable application feed.	<b>CRISIL</b>	Please confirm that bidders will have to submit only on application fee for the RFQ irrespective of the number of categories the applicant will submit bids for.	Bidders have to submit one EMD and separate document fee for each Category (i.e. <i>for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted</i> )
10	Clause 9.15	12	Earnest Money Deposit	<b>CRISIL</b>	Please confirm that bidders will have to submit only one Earnest Money Deposit for the RFQ	For this RFQ, one EMD have to submit irrespective of the number of categories

					irrespective of the number of categories the applicant will submit bids for.	
11	Clause 7.2	8/9	<b>Similar Work</b> : Tenderer should have experience of providing advisory services as mentioned in respective categories under Article - 6.	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	Request to consider Lender's Engineer services/Lender's Independent services in port projects / Infrastructure projects as advisory services.	Clause remains unchanged
12	Claus6.1(b)	7	b) General Civil, Mechanical and Electrical works All general civil engineering works viz. Architectural services, construction of buildings, pavements and roads, yards for storage, warehouses and sheds, water supply and drainage system including its infrastructure. General Mechanical and Electrical works	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	Please clarify whether the qualifying projects should have all assignment or alternatively, different components from different projects can be considered for qualification.	Pl refer clause 6
14/10/2014			.			Page 4 of 23

Ref no : IPA/GAD/Consultant-TA/2014	RFQ for Empanelment Consultants	Clarifications on Pre-Bid Queries
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

13	Clause 8.2	10	The applicant should not have less than the following Full Time equivalent (FET) professional staff in the field of port consultancy.	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	For land side assignments, can consultant in all other infrastructure projects qualify, being of similar nature for the proposed staff. Please confirm.	Clause remains unchanged
14	Clause 9.15	12	The Earnest Money can be paid in the form of Bank Guarantee issue by a Nationalized Bank/Scheduled Bank drawn on New Delhi Branch of the Bank in favour of the Indian Ports Association, new Delhi	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	One EMD for all bids for different Categories/Sub categories may please be considered.	Bidders have to submit one EMD and separate document fee for each Category (i.e. for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted)
15	Clause 4.3	5/6	The applicant, who have submitted application fee will only be allowed to participate in the pre-bid meeting. All the queries must be submitted upto one day before the pre-bid meeting. No fresh queries will be allowed	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	Can application fee for one RFQ be considered to attend pre-bid meeting for all Categories? Please confirm.	Yes

			thereafter. The clarifications will be issued as early as possible so as to leave at least 10 days time for submitting the bid.			
16	Clause 7.2	8	<p>Qualification Criteria for Empanelment of Consultant.</p> <p>...</p> <p><b>Similar works:</b> The Tenderer should have experience of providing advisory services as mentioned in respective Categories under Article-6.</p>	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	It is possible that One completed project may qualify for difference categories/sub categories. Kindly confirm, if such project will be considered for evaluation under different categories/ sub categories.	Yes, provided projects qualify the Similar works clause.
17	Clause 9.3	11	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 10,000/ as non-refundable application fee.	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	We request you to consider one application fee for all categories/Sub categories for RFQ of Empanelment of Consultants, within a particular class, i.e. one payment for Class-	Separate document fee for each Category (i.e. <i>for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted</i> )

			This document fee shall be remitted by way of DD/Banker's cheque drawn in favour of Indian Ports Association, New Delhi		A and One payment for Class-B Kindly confirm.	
18	NIT	2	Note: Applicant is required to submit separate bid for each category and Class for which he intends to empanel. For avoidance of any doubt, if applicant wants to apply for (a) Marine works (b) Railwya work in category I and Traffic study in category III< then he has to submit three different application for each class viz Class-a or Class-B as case may be.	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	While submitting separate bids for the categories/sub-categories within a class, we request you to permit one EMD for each class, i.e. One for class A and one for class B. Kindly confirm.	For this RFQ, one EMD have to submit irrespective of the number of categories/Class
19	Clause 7.2	9	Note : the bidder who apply for the Class-A registration and, if getting	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	Please provide proforma for undertaking, if any.	No standard proforma.  Willingness stating that <u>the Applicant</u> is also interested in empanelment for

			qualified for Class-A, shall get qualified automatically registered under the Class-B category, he may also submit the undertaking stating that he may fulfill the all requirement required for Class-B category. However, marks for Class A & B will be assigned separately.			Class-B category shall be submitted
20	Clause 1.2(k) Experience criteria for Class B	18	Initial three completed similar works for the project-20 points. For additional three project additional 5 marks will be given.	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	We request you to kindly consider initial TWO completed similar works for the project-20 points as in case of Class-A. Kindly confirm.	Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.
21	Clause 1.2(l) Experience Criteria for Class B	18	Initial three completed similar works for the project-20 points.	<b>Aarvee Associates</b>	We request you to kindly consider Initial ONE completed similar works for the project-20 points as in case of Class-A. Kindly confirm.	Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.
22			Submission of Bids for Empanelment under class A and Class B for Category 1,2 & 3	<b>Capital Fortunes</b>	Kindly clarify if Applicant wished to apply for empanelment under Class A as well as Class B under any category, are separate bids required or Eligibility in Class- A (Project Cost of over INR 200	Willingness stating that <u>the Applicant</u> is also interested in empanelment for Class-B category shall be submitted



					crores) automatically implies that the Applicant is eligible for Projects under Category B (Project Cost of up to INR 200 Crores)? In order to clarify if applicant applies for Category-1 (a) 'Marine Construction works and submits one assignment completion certificate for similar works of INR 240 Crores, will the applicant have to submit a 2nd bid in case they wish to be empanelled under Class B as well	
23	Clause 8.0		Evaluation Criteria for Category 1,2,3 works  Experience 40 points Human Resources 10 points.	<b>Capital Fortunes</b>	It is our understanding that the total scoring as per the evaluation criteria at this empanelment is 50 points, and that the remaining 50 points will be evaluated during the RFP stage of specific assignments by Major Ports on the basis of Key Personnel (20 points) and Financial Bid (30 points) ) We acknowledge and appreciate this usage of the QCBS (Quality and Cost Based Selection) [process for selecting consultants for specific assignments in this connection our requests are as follows	Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.
	Read with		The Major Ports as		1. Since the key Personnel are	

	Clause 9:19 (Evaluation Process)	<p>and when required will obtain financial offers (RFP) along with key personnel required specifically for the project from the Consultants who are empanelled and evaluate for the balance 50 points as under</p> <p>Key Personnel 20 points Financial Bid 30 points</p>	<p>already being evaluated for 10 points by IPA at this empanelment / RFQ stage, we submit that the key Personnel need not be evaluated once again for additional 20 points at the RFP stage by the respective Major Ports for specific assignments. Instead the scoring orientation at the RFP stage by the respective Major Ports may be restricted to the financial bid alone</p> <p>2. In Continuation with the point above. We request that the total 100 points for evaluation be distributed between the technical and financial criteria on a 80:20 basis instead of the current 70:30 basis which is the usual pattern followed by tenders based on QCBS mode. That is the financial bid may be scored for 20 points and the remaining 80 points may be distributed among technical criteria in the manner presented below</p> <p>Empanelment stage Experience 50 points Human Resources 20 points Key Personnel 10 points RFP Stage (evaluated by respective Major Ports for specific projects) Kindly accept the</p>	
--	-------------------------------------	---	---	--

					aforementioned evaluation structure.	
24	Appendix 1 Experience Criteria as per para 7.2		<p>40 Marks</p> <p>Class A</p> <p>i) Three completed similar works costing not less than 120 crores</p> <p>ii) Two completed similar works costing not less than 150 crores</p> <p>iii) One completed similar work costing not less than 240 Crores</p> <p>Class B</p> <p>I) Three completed similar works costing not less than 40 crores</p> <p>ii) Two completed similar works costing not less than 50 crores</p> <p>iii) One completed similar work costing not less than 80 crores.</p>	<b>Capital Fortunes</b>	<p>The distribution of marks between initial projects and additional projects appears to be disproportionate. For instance In the category of PPP projects costing not less than 2740 crores show casting one project grants 20 points. But additional project of the nature are worth only 5 points each</p> <p>If Firm – A has completed two similar works of above Rs 240 crs It will get only 25 (20+5) points</p> <p>We request that the weight age of additional projects be increased to make the grading less skewed</p> <p>For instance the additional points to be given to Firm A should be 20 for each additional assignment and to Firm B to be given additional points of 10 for each additional assignment for Firm C the additional points can be retained as 50 for each additional assignment.</p>	Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.
25	Clauses 7.2,		Experience of	<b>Capital</b>	The slowdown in economic	Clause remains unchanged

	RFQ		having completed similar works during last 07 years ending last day of the month	<b>Fortunes</b>	growth in the past three years has affected the number of projects approved and the number of Agreements executed by the Government are comparatively lesser in number in the last 7 years compared to the last 10 years specially under PPP mode Further empanelment bids for Consultancy services in the infrastructure sector typically consider experience of the last 10 years. Therefore it is requested that the Authority may consider the relevant experience in the last 10 years	
26	Clauses 8.2 1 R F Q		Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Professional The applicant should indicate the details of FTE professionals along with supporting documents for their engagement and provide organization structure	<b>Capital Fortunes</b>	Kindly provide the definition and roles/ responsibilities of Full Time Equivalent Professionals. Kindly clarify whether the empanelled Resource persons in various fields on an agreement / Retainer ship to undertake the assignments as and when awarded would be considered as FTE Professionals	Employees/Professionals who has exclusive long term contract for more than 3 years
27	Clause 9.4		Empanelment made by IPA is intended to be used by all	<b>Capital Fortunes</b>	Kindly clarify whether the Major Ports will be procuring Consultancy services only from	Yes, Major Ports will be taking Consultancy services only from the list of empanelled consultants .

			Major Ports		the list of empanelled consultants or there is a provision for the Major Ports to hire consultants outside the empanelment and or on a nomination basis	However in exceptional cases, the Port may go for open tendering process.
28	Clause 9.15		No tender will be considered which is not accompanied by a sum of Rs 2.50lacs as Earnest Money Deposit EMD of successful bidders will be retained for the entire period of empanelment i.e. 3 years by IPA	<b>Capital Fortunes</b>	Earnest Money Deposit is not typically observed in empanelment instead the EMD IS requested for submission from the empanelled consultants on a project-to-project basis by the project authority (such as the Major Ports) who also sets the EMD amount and term as per the project's requirements In this context submitting an EMD for an empanelment process especially for a retainer period as long as three years is not typically observed. It is therefore requested that the EMD not be made as part o the requirement for empanelment submission. As an alternative it is proposed that the EMD be requested for submission to IPA/ the Major Ports as part of the financial proposal for specific projects. The mount and term of the EMD amount may then be determined on a project- specific basis. Kindly therefore consider	Clause remains unchanged.  Bidders have to submit one EMD and separate document fee for each Category (i.e. <i>for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted</i> )

					the above request and suggestion	
29	Clause 9.12 /  Clauses 9 19		works shall be allotted to various Consultants commensurate to their capabilities and capacities as assessed by IPA/ Major Ports...  Read with  Applications will be scrutinized as per the criteria.... the Major Ports as and when required will obtain financial offers along with key Personnel...	<b>Capital Fortunes</b>	As stated in Clause 9. 12 kindly clarify the basis of evaluating the capabilities /capabilities of empanelled consultants by which IPA/ Major Ports shall allot the assignments. Kindly provide the details of the process of selection of empanelled consultants for specific projects.	Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.
30	Last para	2		<b>AECOM India Private Limited</b>	Applicant needs to apply for more than one category and sub category. Does the consultant need to submit separate fee for each RFQ along with the Bid submission?	Bidders have to submit one EMD and separate document fee for each Category (i.e. <i>for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted</i> )
31	7	8		<b>AECOM India Private Limited</b>	For Qualifying Project, does Parent Company's qualifying projects shall be eligible?	Refer clause 9.6
32	9.15	12		<b>AECOM India Private Limited</b>	Do separate EMDs are required for each category and sub category along with the	Bidders have to submit one EMD for this RFQ

					application?	
33	9.15	12		<b>AECOM India Private Limited</b>	Do any additional EMDs would be sought for specific Port Projects at RFP stage?	Separate Bid and performance security payable to Major Ports at the time of RFP.
34				<b>AECOM India Private Limited</b>	Please Clarify how would the work done earlier prior to empanelment or during empanelment by any Consultant for a specific project would affect his eligibility for providing services in that specific project under this RFQ?	This empanelment is only for future projects.
35	Notice for empanelment of consultants for Major Ports	2	"the panel may be used by Major Ports for inviting financial bids as and when required for their projects/works"	<b>Ernst &amp; Young Limited Liability Partnership</b>	We request the Authority to kindly elaborate on the mode and purpose of the empanelment. Specifically, kindly clarify if this empanelment would be a necessary pre requisite for firms to participate in all future port consultancy assignments as listed in Annexure 1 of the RFQ	List of empanelment will be used by Major Ports for all future port consultancy assignments. Annexure-1 of the RFQ is the indicative list, ports can also use the empanelment list for any other projects, which are not indicated in Annexure-1.
36	Clause No. 5 and Clause No.7	6	Empanelment and Qualification Criteria for Empanelment of consultant: "For Class-A a) Three completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs. 120	<b>Ernst &amp; Young Limited Liability Partnership</b>	If a bidder is interested in participating in projects of only one of the three mentioned categories and has sufficient experience in that specific category, how would it be treated by the Authority during bid evaluation and also during project allocation? Kindly Clarify.	Bidder has to submit the application for that category indicating the work interested under the category.

			Crores."			
37	Clause No. 7	8	Qualification Criteria for Empanelment of Consultant Stage- I The Tenderers shall meet the following qualifying criteria:: 7.1 Average annual financial turnover during the last 3 years ending 31 <sup>st</sup> March of previous financial year should be at least For Class-A Rs. 200 Lakhs & Class-B Rs.60 Lakhs.	<b>Ernst &amp; Young Limited Liability Partnership</b>	Since the future port projects listed in Annexure 1 require significant financial investment, we feel that the financial turnover limit set for such large scale projects is very less, we request the authority to kindly redefine the Clause as follows: "Average annual financial turnover from consultancy services during the last 3 years ending 31 <sup>st</sup> March of previous financial year should be at least For Class-A Rs. 200 Lakhs & Class-B Rs. 60 Lakhs."	Clause remains unchanged
38	8.2.1	10	"The applicant should indicate the details of FTE professionals along with supporting documents for their engagement and provide an organization structure of the applicant indicating the FTE professional in that category."	<b>Ernst &amp; Young Limited Liability Partnership</b>	We understand that the Authority wishes to ensure involvement of a minimum number of personnel in the project. We request the Authority to kindly clarify if there is any minimum qualification requirement (educational background and work experience) for the FTE professionals. If yes, kindly share the same with us.	Employees/Professionals who has exclusive long term contract for more than 3 years



Ref no : IPA/GAD/Consultant-TA/2014	RFQ for Empanelment Consultants	Clarifications on Pre-Bid Queries
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

39	Clause No. 9.19	14	Evaluation Process	Ernst & Young Limited Liability Partnership	We request the Authority to kindly clarify the evaluation process. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Would the score obtained by the empanelled consultants (out of 50 points) in the given RFQ be considered during evaluation when projects are floated by major ports?</li></ul> OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Would firms be evaluated only on the basis of Key Personnel (20 points) and Financial Bid (30 points) showcased by the empaneled forms for that particular project?</li></ul>	Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.				
40	Appendix 1 1.1	18	<table><tr><td colspan="2">Experience Criteria</td></tr><tr><td>1. Experience Criteria as per Par 7.2</td><td>Points:40 Initial three completed similar works for the project-20 points. a) For additional three projects additional 5 marks will be given Initial two completed similar works for the project-20 points. For additional two projects additional 5</td></tr></table>	Experience Criteria		1. Experience Criteria as per Par 7.2	Points:40 Initial three completed similar works for the project-20 points. a) For additional three projects additional 5 marks will be given Initial two completed similar works for the project-20 points. For additional two projects additional 5	Ernst & Young Limited Liability Partnership	As per our understanding, firms would be given a score of 20 points for the first three similar projects showcased. A total of 5 more points would be awarded for the next three understanding is correct. If yes, please note that the points only sum up to 25. This is in conflict with Evaluation Criteria, where it is mentioned that up to 40 points may be scored for demonstration of appropriate experience. Kindly clarify how the	Clause amended, please refer Addenda-1.
Experience Criteria										
1. Experience Criteria as per Par 7.2	Points:40 Initial three completed similar works for the project-20 points. a) For additional three projects additional 5 marks will be given Initial two completed similar works for the project-20 points. For additional two projects additional 5									

			<p>cr or</p> <p>Two completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs,150 crs or</p> <p>One similar completed work for project costingnot less than Rs. 240 cr. .</p>	<p>marks will br given Initial on completed similar works for the project- 20 points. For additional one project additional one project additional 5 marks will be given</p>		<p>additional 15 points may be scored.</p>	
41	Note 2	10	The applicant has to score minimum 50% points in each of above sub criteria viz 8.1.1. & 8.2.1 for getting qualified.	<b>Ernst &amp; Young Limited Liability Partnership</b>	We request the authority to kindly clarify if all the firms scoring more than 50% would be eligible for empanelment of if there is a limit on the number of firms that would get empaneled.	No limit on the number of firms that would get empaneled.	
42	Clause 9.19	14	Evaluation process “ The Major Ports as and when required will obtain financial offers (RFP) along with key personnel	<b>Ernst &amp; Young Limited Liability Partnership</b>	We understand that empaneled forms would be evaluated again as and when listed major ports float their projects. We would like to understand if the key personnel fielded at this stage should be among the list of personnel that	At RFP stage, key personnel required specifically for the project will be indicated by the individual Major Ports	

			required specifically for the project from the Consultants who are empanelled and evaluated for the balance 50 points as under : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Key personnel – 20 points</li> <li>• Financial Bid – 30 points”</li> </ul>		would be fielded for the purpose of this RFQ.	
43	Schedule for receipt of the application	2	“Receipt of offers on or before 3.00 pm(1500 Hrs) on 10/10/2014”	<b>Ernst &amp; Young Limited Liability Partnership</b>	In view of the total quantum of work involved in submission of proposal and in view of the holidays season in the months of September & October, we request you to kindly extend the Proposal Due Date by at least 3 weeks from the current due date.	Please visit IPA website : <a href="http://ipa.nic.in">ipa.nic.in</a> for any change of date/details
44	Clause 5.1 & 5.2	6	Category of Empanelment	<b>Drewry</b>	<p>Would applicant eligible for and intending to participate in Class-A(Consultancy for the projects with estimated cost of projects more than 200 cr) by default eligible for Class-B (Consultancy for the projects with Estimated Cost of Project upto 200 Cr)</p> <p>Is consultant required to submit separate bids for both categories?</p>	Willingness stating that <u>the Applicant</u> is also interested in empanelment for Class-B category shall be submitted

Ref no : IPA/GAD/Consultant-TA/2014	RFQ for Empanelment Consultants	Clarifications on Pre-Bid Queries
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

45	Clause 9.15	12	EMD	<b>Drewry</b>	<p>We understand that consultant would have to furnish Earnest money deposit of INR 2.5 lac</p> <p>Would the individual project/ assignment have separate bid security of separate projects? If that is the case, the consultant would end up paying multiple bid securities for multiple projects.</p> <p>Kindly clarify?</p>	Bidders have to submit one EMD and separate document fee for each Category (i.e. <i>for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted</i> )
46	Clause 8.2	10	Evaluation criteria for Category – 1,2,3	<b>Drewry</b>	<p>As per the issued RFQ, applicant needs to indicate the details of FTE professional along with supporting documents</p> <p>May we get further clarify on supporting documents which need to be submitted?</p>	Please refer details under 'Response' at page 10 of RFQ document
47		2	Submission of document fee of Rs. 10000/- for each for RFQ	<b>UNISON PROJECT MANAGEMENT PVT. LTD.</b>	If consultant wishes to submit for more than one category from both the Class A & B, document fee of Rs. 10,000/- is to be submitted for each submission. Pl. Clarify.	Bidders have to submit one EMD and separate document fee for each Category (i.e. <i>for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted</i> )
48	Clause No. 4.3	5	Application Fee	<b>UNISON PROJECT MANAGEMENT PVT. LTD.</b>	Application fee is to be submitted in addition to the document fee ? Pl clarify.	- Do -
49		9	<b>Note</b> The bidder who	<b>UNISON PROJECT</b>	Only one proposal is to be submitted by the consultant for	Willingness stating that <u>the Applicant</u> is also interested in empanelment for

Ref no : IPA/GAD/Consultant-TA/2014	RFQ for Empanelment Consultants	Clarifications on Pre-Bid Queries
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

			<p>apply for the class-A registration and, if getting qualified for Class-A, shall get qualified automatically registered under the Class-B category, he may also submit the undertaking stating that he may fulfill the all requirement required for Class-B category. However, marks for Class A &amp; B will be assigned separately.</p>	MANAGEMENT PVT. LTD.	<p>Class A &amp; the consultant will be empanelled for both the categories. Whether the undertaking to be submitted alongwith the Class A category submission ? PI confirm. Whether the marks for Class B will be assigned based on Class A submission? If the consultant submits the bid for class a Category alongwith the undertaking for Class B for the same category, and during the evaluation consultant is not shortlisted for Class A, will the same bid be evaluated for Class B.</p>	Class-B category shall be submitted
50	Clause No 9.15	12	<b>Earnest Money Deposit Rs.2.5 lakhs of Earnest Money Deposit</b>	UNISON PROJECT MANAGEMENT PVT. LTD.	<p>It is understood that single EMD of Rs. 2.5 Lakh is to be submitted for the various applications ( under different Class &amp; Categories) by the Consultant. PI confirm.</p>	Bidders have to submit one EMD and separate document fee for each Category (i.e. <i>for this RFQ, maximum three document fee can be submitted</i> )
51	Clause No. 8.2.1	10	<b>FET professional alongwith supporting documents for their engagement</b>	UNISON PROJECT MANAGEMENT PVT. LTD.	<p>What type of supporting document is expected? PI Clarify.</p>	Please refer details under 'Response' at page 10 of RFQ document
52	Clause No.		Application Fee	MEC Consultants	<p>In case, if RFQ is downloaded from website, the requisite document fee of Rs. 10000/- inform of DD, in favour of Indian</p>	If bidder wants to attend pre-bid meeting then <u>the Applicant</u> has to submit the document fees before attending the pre-bid meeting.

					Ports Association shall be submitted alongwith bid whereas in schedule for receipt of the application, the document fee of Rs. 10,000 to be paid on or before 14.30 hrs on 19.09.2014. Kindly confirm that document fee to be submitted along with bid.	Otherwise, the requisite document fee of Rs. 10,000/- in form of DD, in favour of Indian Ports Association shall be submitted alongwith bid
53			EMD	<b>MEC Consultants</b>	The application is for empanelment and there is no tender/bid to be submitted at this stage and bids to be submitted only when particular tender is called by respective major port. In such case, payment of Earnest Money Deposit is not required at this stage. It is not clear whether payment of EMD at this stage will exempt the empanelled consultant from payment of EMD to be paid at the time of submission of tender.	Separate Bid and performance security payable to Major Ports at the time of RFP.
54				<b>MEC Consultants</b>	For the criteria of value of project in last 7 years, whether the project cost to be adjusted as per normally accepted enhancement every year.	Clause remains unchanged
55				<b>MEC Consultants</b>	The RFQ includes general conditions about "Financial offer without any conditions" whereas at this stage there is no financial offer to be submitted. Kindly	Financial offers will be invited by Major Ports with conditions at the time of RFP

					clarify.	
56				<b>MEC Consultants</b>	It is suggested that considering the objective of “ preparing a panel of consultants to be used by the major ports for the identified consultancy services’, the process may be restricted to only empanelment and other part of process be deferred while actual tenders are invited by respective major port.	Clause remains unchanged
57				<b>VOGUE CONSTRUCTION AND CONSULTANCY SERVICES PVT LTD.,</b>	Class A & B Category-1-Railworks. Please clarify whether estimated cost of project means cost of the whole project including marine components or project cost of Railway infrastructure alone.	This will be as per clause 6(Brief scope in various disciplines). Please refer page 7 of RFQ